

The National Consortium for the Advancement of **LDES Technologies**

S OAK

Pacific Northwest

Will McNamara Sandia National Laboratories **Principal Investigator**

Sandia

ENERGY NAS

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525 SAND2024-00410PE



This project is funded by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), as part of the DOE Technology Commercialization Fund (TCF), administered by the Office of Technology Transitions in collaboration with the Office of Clean Energy Demonstrations.



THE NATIONAL CONSORTIUM FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF LDES TECHNOLOGIES

The LDES National Consortium provides a forum through which stakeholders across the LDES ecosystem can convene to **identify barriers, determine potential synergies, and collaboratively develop and implement strategies necessary to achieve LDES technology commercialization** within the next decade.

MAJOR DELIVERABLES OVER NEXT THREE YEARS:

- LDES Demonstrations & Deployments Tracking System
- LDES Technology Maturity Evaluation Framework
- Assessment of Utility Needs for LDES
- Geographical Readiness Assessments
- Evaluation of US Wholesale Markets
- Evaluation of US Retail Markets
- Full Set of Commercial Pathways Recommendations
- Networking and Community Outreach

National Launch: January 2024



Lab Leadership

Lead by Sandia Labs partnering with ANL, INL, NREL, ORNL, & PNNL



Website

Community of Knowledge and Best Practices ensuring findings are easily accessible

180+ Teaming Partners

LDES National Consortium will be comprised of U.S. industry and community stakeholders, known as "Teaming Partners."

3 Years \$7M Federal Funds + Cost Share

16 Tiger Teams Topical working groups to evaluate challenges.

DOE's Lab-only Proposal Call.

 \triangleright Released in the summer of 2023.

- ➢ U.S. DOE Office of Technology Transitions and Office of Clean Energy Demonstrations
- Funding provided by Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Technology Commercialization Fund.
- Intended to address commercialization challenges that arise when many entities working in similar areas work in isolation.
- ➤ 50% cost-sharing requirement due to the opportunity being defined as a demonstration project.

 \succ Sandia applied as lead lab with five lab partners.



11 Challenges—Pulled directly from the DOE's Lift-Off Report.

- Cost of an LDES system needs to come down by 2030
- 2. LDES technologies must achieve 7-15% improvement in roundtrip efficiency to compete with Li-ion storage and hydrogen.
- 3. The specific needs related to LDES workforce training (i.e., skills and training) are presently not well defined.
- 4. A uniform approach toward developing resource adequacy compensation for LDES technologies does not exist, in either regulated markets (PUC evaluation) or competitive markets (ISO/RTO).
- 5. A comprehensive assessment of necessary supply chain improvements specific to LDES technologies does not presently exist.

- 6. There is presently a lack of resources regarding how to evaluate grid upgrades or expansions that will be necessary to accommodate both new variable renewable generation sites and LDES systems
- 7. Presently, there is no publicly available evaluation of LDES technologies against primary competitive factors.
- 8. LDES is not included in most utility grid firming plans.
- 9. LDES use cases require market changes at the wholesale level.
- 10. ISO and RTO markets will need to develop support mechanisms.
- 11. State-level policymaking specific to LDES has been very limited.

We have released our first set of Industry Recommendations

- The recommendations address the commercialization challenges referenced by the DOE's 2023 Lift-off Report.
- The 11 challenges were assigned to the 16 Tiger Teams; most of the challenges now have 5-10 recommendations associated with them.
- Along with making the recommendations, we will be developing an implementation tracking system to track results. (Findings will be included in forthcoming assessment reports).



Challenge #1: Cost of an LDES system needs to come down by 2030.

Ref #	Action-based Recommendation	Recipient						
1-1	Conduct further analysis to evaluate the driver of LDESSelf-assigned to LDES Nationalcosts—power or energy—and the various elements of the LCOS that can be targeted for reductions, toConsortium Technology Development, Evaluation and Testing Tiger Teamdetermine how LCOS can be improved to address LDES more effectively (or be substituted with another methodology).Self-assigned to LDES National Consortium Technology Development, Evaluation and Testing Tiger Team							
1-2	Assess the opportunities for increased levels of LDES demonstrations / pilot programs in specific states to facilitate the scaling of LDES technologies, which in turn will promote cost reductions. Where applicable, restructured states that have imposed restrictions on utility ownership of energy storage assets should be encouraged to reconsider this policy to allow utilities to own, build, and operate LDES in the commercial scalability of LDES can be accelerated with utility-scale	The assessment of specific state assigned to the LDES National Consortium—Policy & Regulatio Team. Once assessments are complet specific recommendations will submitted either directly to sta regulatory commissions or diss through NARUC or NASEO.	s is self- ns Tiger	Challenge #2: LDES technologies must achieve 7-15% improvement in roundtrip efficiency to compete with Li-ion storage and hydrogen.				
1-3	Develop, and make publicly available, a public repository of targeted investment data and shared lessons learned of LDES investments through public databases and reports, which will enable LDES cost reductions by segment. (This recommendation recognizes that similar efforts are underway with external organizations such as EPRI, the DOE-OTT, and Sightline and thus <u>incorporate</u> <u>collaboration to the fullest extent</u> possible.)	The development of the reposi	Ref #	Action-based Recommendation	Recipient(s)			
		assigned to the LDES National Consortium—Investor Confider Economics & Valuation Tiger Te Upon completion the repositor made publicly available via the Consortium's Website.	2-1	Prepare list of factors that influence roundtrip efficiencies organized by technology type and assumptions regarding how these factors can be influenced, and by which industry players. This list of factors should be 1) prioritized in terms of which factors are essential to address and 2) assigned levels of complexity to implement.	Self-assigned to the LDES Nat Consortium Technology Dev Evaluation & Testing and Use Development Tiger Teams.	ional velopmen Case		
			2-2	Conduct an RTE assessment based on LDES technology type.	Self-assigned to the LDES Na Consortium—Technology D€ Evaluation and Testing and l Development Tiger Teams.		Challenge #3: The specific needs related to LDES workforce training (i.e., skills and training) are presently not well defined.	
					Upon completion of this add assessment, more-granular l recommendations will be ma and end users for their tech development and market ev	Ref #	Action-based Recommendation	Recipient(s)
						3-1	onduct a comprehensive assessment of current needs r workers in the LDES industry must be conducted offore more specific recommendations can be eveloped. Assess opportunities to correlate existing orkforce programs relevant to LDES (e.g., chemicals ctor, electricians, etc.) to DOE pilot/demonstration ojects in current need of trained workers.	Self-assigned to the LDES National Consortium—Workforce Development Tiger Team.
			2-3	Assess the potential for additional DOE-sourced R&D Self-assigned to the unding opportunities that could be made available to consortium—Techn Evaluation & Testim	Self-assigned to the LDES Na Consortium—Technology De Evaluation & <u>Testing</u> and Inv			
				performance technologies	Confidence figer leams.	3-2	Define mechanisms that will enable increased communication between the LDES industry, academia/training providers, and communities with high unemployment or underemployment to increase the ways in which shared knowledge can be leveraged to improve workforce training specific to LDES technologies.	Self-assigned to the LDES National Consortium—Workforce Development Tiger Team for further analysis. Upon completion of analysis, recommended mechanisms, at the state level recommendations will likely be

Tiger Teams are continuing to identify additional challenges and accompanying Industry Recommendations.

or to DOE.

Website Information

The Community of Knowledge & Best Practices Website is the official name for the LDES National Consortium's public facing Website.

- The Website will be the primary repository for the output of the LDES National Consortium, along with knowledge-sharing information that seeks to enhance the public's understanding of LDES and the role it will play in the energy future of the US.
- It is anticipated that the Website will include, but is not limited to:
 - Continually updated list of Industry Recommendations
 - A list of participating Teaming Partners that includes organization name, URL, primary point of contact name and title, and contact information (after approval from the Teaming Partner organization).
 - A glossary of "LDES common terminology" with suggestions on how key terms should be defined.
 - A library of previously published LDES materials developed by our national Lab Partners and DOE offices.
 - LDES Technology Evaluation Matrix
 - LDES Demonstrations & Deployment Project Tracking System

ldesconsortium.sandia.gov



WILL MCNAMARA PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR LDES NATIONAL CONSORTIUM SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES JWMCNAM@SANDIA.GOV